

TREATMENT OF MAXILLARY RETAINED CANINE WITH IMPLANTS: NEW PERSPECTIVES

E. EL HADDAD¹, R. CALCATERRA², G. EL HADDAD¹, V. CANDOTTO³,
D. LAURITANO⁴

¹ Private practice, Turin, Italy

² Department of Clinical Sciences and Translational Medicine, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Rome, Italy

³ Department of Morphology, Surgery and Experimental Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

⁴ Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milan-Bicocca, Milan, Italy

SUMMARY

Purpose. The surgical-orthodontic treatment of impacted maxillary canine (IMC) remains a challenge to today's clinicians. The aim of the present paper is to describe an unconventional treatment of IMC with insertion of implant into the retained canine and 3 years follow-up.

Case report. A 55-year-old female patient attended to rehabilitate her maxillary left canine site. An unconventional approach was proposed to the patient. A large diameter tapered implant (Ø 5 x 18 mm) was inserted (Nobel Active, Kolten, Swisse). An immediate loading prosthetic rehabilitation was performed. After checking for implant stability the prosthetic steps were carried out and a single crown was delivered with Procera system.

Conclusion. The patient was happy of this kind of single non-invasive session for treating IMC. At the 3-year control, the implant did not show any mobility or signs of peri-implantitis clinically or radiographically. In addition patient was satisfied of aesthetic results.

Key words: impacted teeth, surgical techniques, orthodontic treatment, multidisciplinary treatment.



Introduction

The surgical-orthodontic treatment of impacted maxillary canine (IMC) remains a challenge to today's clinicians. Therapy of IMC involves the exposure of the retained canine, its traction in the arch and the alignment and levelling with orthodontic equipment. Bone loss, root resorption and gingival recession around IMC are some of the most common complications. Therapies of IMC are generally very long and complicated, so alternative methods have been studied to restore the missing canine.

IMC can be defined as the infraosseous position of the tooth after the expected time of eruption, whereas the anomalous infraosseous position of the canine before the expected time of eruption can be defined as a displacement. Most of the

time, palatal displacement of the maxillary canine results in IMC (1).

IMC is a common finding of oral pathology and represents 2% of patients seeking orthodontic treatment. IMC is one of the most frequently impacted teeth, second only to third molars with the prevalence ranging from 0.8 to 5.2% depending on the population examined. The incidence of IMC is more than twice than that in the mandible, and the ratio of palatal to buccal impaction is 8 to 1.8% of IMC is bilateral and it is twice more common in girls than boys.

Primary etiological causes of IMC include space deficiency, disturbances in tooth eruption sequence, trauma, retention of primary canine, premature root closure, rotation of tooth buds, as well as localized pathological lesions (cysts, odontomas). The long developmental path of the IMC was described as follows: the maxillary

cuspid follows a more difficult and tortuous path of eruption than any other tooth. Superior canines are high in the maxilla in deciduous dentition, and then they erupt towards the occlusal plane, gradually up righting itself until it seems to follow the distal root of the lateral incisor. They then seem to be deflected to a more vertical position; however, often erupts into the oral cavity with a marked mesial inclination.

Previously some clinical papers dealing with implants deliberately placed in IMC were published. Since implant placement requires an adequate quantity and quality of bone (2-10), the related procedures were aiming to avoid invasive surgeries while treating IMC. The follow-up of these prosthetically driven implants placed through IMC was ranging 2 to 3.5 years.

Since then, more cases of IMC have been successfully treated and the principle governing these protocols has been extended to inserting implants in contact with radicular dentine while seeking to optimize aesthetics. The biological rationale of bringing implants into contact with IMC has been extensively addressed elsewhere; it was found to get a trustworthy support from available histological data (11).

It is pointless to say that these innovative protocols for treating IMC need long-term documentation with large numbers of patients before being accepted in routine use by the clinical community. A large number of cases of IMC is not documented because they are treated by general dental involving at best a few patients per year in the hands of every practitioner; so, getting sizable numbers requires time. Before getting the compulsory numbers, the way to start paving a conceivable route for such an unconventional protocol for IMC treatment is to provide long-term documentation even on a small numbers of cases; this would help starting evaluating its long-term fate.

The first few cases with IMC that have been treated with this unconventional implant protocol have been reaching now a follow-up of 5 and more years. The aim of the present paper is, therefore, to describe a case report and 3 years follow-up.

Case report

A 55-year-old female patient attended to rehabilitate her maxillary left canine site. Her temporary canine showed a deep decay (Figure 1). A cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) was performed showing IMC closed to the alveolar ridge (Figure 2). For aesthetic reasons, the patient vigorously refused to consider the orthodontic path prescribed by previous orthodontists. The classical surgical approach of IMC was then explained; it consisted in removing decidu-



Figure 1
Deep decay of the deciduous canine.




Figure 2
A cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) showing retained canine close to the alveolar ridge.

ous canine and subsequently IMC, grafting the area and placing an implant after 6 months of healing and again waiting for the same amount of time. She asked for a shorter and less invasive solution.

Following patient's wishes an alternative approach was then proposed; it was relying on a previous case that has been successful during 6 months until the canines were removed. Patient was reassured that if this treatment will fail, she would go for the conventional way at no additional cost. The patient accepted to cope with the risk.

A large diameter tapered implant (\varnothing 5 x 18 mm) was inserted (Nobel Active, Kolten, Swisse) (12-16). The impacted site was drilled following the manufacturer recommended drilling sequence to place the fixture with a direct contact with IMC. Primary stability of fixture was achieved and then the gingiva was sutured over the implant (Figure 3). The patient reported no post-operative pain. An immediate loading prosthetic rehabilitation was performed (Figure 4). After check-



Figure 4
Immediate loading prosthetic rehabilitation.

ing for implant stability the prosthetic steps were carried out and a single crown was delivered with Procera system (Procera Networks, Inc. 47448 Fremont Blvd., Fremont, CA 94538, United States) (Figure 5). The patient was happy of this kind of single non-invasive session for



Figure 3
Fixture inserted in retained canine.



Figure 5
Single crown delivered with Procera system.

treating IMC. At the 3-year control, the implant didn't show any mobility or signs of peri-implantitis clinically or radiographically. In addition the patient was satisfied of aesthetic results.

Discussion

This unconventional protocol allowed implant placement in IMC. Traditional therapy with retained canine exposition and alignment in dental would take much longer time and much more invasive surgery.

Several Authors reported that orthodontic canine alignment is very long and unpredictable therapy. Other Authors stressed that this handling failed in all patients over 30 years. Therefore, this unconventional therapy has been increasingly successful among dentists, in fact it might turn into one of the accepted care options on top of the invasive surgical procedure of tooth removal and further implant placement. So implant placement might be considered a valid alternative for IMC treatment. In fact implant dentistry has reached success rate of above 80%, but peri-implantitis is the most important delayed complication (17-26). In addition, the patient-related susceptibility is a critical factor for disease onset. So, every factor favouring oral biofilm formation (poor oral hygiene), host defence capability (smoking habit, excessive alcohol consumption, genetic traits, history of peri-

odontitis, use of bisphosphonates), might favour developing of peri-implantitis and periodontal disease, which diagnosis and treatment require dentist's engagement (27-32).

This new surgical procedure for IMC treatment has been created new interfaces with the implants: implant-periodontal ligament, implant-cement, implant-dentine, and an implant-pulp and implant-enamel interfaces (33).

Since this surgical approach is new, long-term data involve only a limited number of patients and implants. Further studies are needed to validate this procedure.

Conclusion

There are some options in the management of IMC: extraction of an impacted tooth, extraction of an adjacent tooth or non-extraction treatment involving orthodontic space opening and surgical exposure, and finally inserting fixture into IMC.

When non-extraction treatment of IMC is performed, the orthodontic treatment is often initiated before the surgical exposure in order to align the teeth, to open the space for the impacted tooth and to enhance the natural eruption process. At the surgery, any hard or soft tissue obstruction is removed and the unerupted tooth is exposed. Then, an attachment is placed on the impacted tooth, either at surgery or shortly

thereafter.

Placing implants through IMC and generating interfaces other than the implant-bone interface led to uneventful healing; it did not interfere the clinical stability of these implants in the long-term, up to 3 years. More patients with IMC must be treated before this protocol can be used routinely, but it might open new treatment perspectives. Moreover, it might suggest that there is new knowledge to reevaluate well-anchored paradigms in dental implantology as published elsewhere.

References

1. Davarpah M, Szmukler-Moncler S. Unconventional implant placement. 2: placement of implants through impacted teeth. Three case reports. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 2009;29(4):405-13.
2. Baj A, Trapella G, Lauritano D, Candotto V, Mancini GE, Gianni AB. An overview on bone reconstruction of atrophic maxilla: success parameters and critical issues. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2016;30(2 Suppl 1):209-15.
3. Grecchi F, Perale G, Candotto V, Busato A, Pascali M, Carinci F. Reconstruction of the zygomatic bone with smartbone®: Case report. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2015;29(3):42-47.
4. Lauritano D, Avantiaggiato A, Candotto V, Cura F, Gaudio RM, Martinelli M, Palmieri A. Insulin activity on dental pulp stem cell differentiation: An in vitro study. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2015;29(3):48-53.
5. Tettamanti L, Andreasi Bassi M, Trapella G, Candotto V, Tagliabue A. Applications of biomaterials for bone augmentation of jaws: Clinical outcomes and in vitro studies. *ORAL and Implantology*. 2017;10(1):37-44.
6. Baj A, Sollazzo V, Lauritano D, Candotto V, Mancini GE, Gianni AB. Lights and shadows of bone augmentation in severe resorbed mandible in combination with implant dentistry. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2016;30(2):177-82.
7. Lauritano D, Avantiaggiato A, Candotto V, Cura F, Gaudio RM, Scapoli L, Palmieri A. Effect of somatostatin on dental pulp stem cells. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2015;29(3):54-58.
8. Baj A, Muzio LL, Lauritano D, Candotto V, Mancini GE, Gianni AB. Success of immediate versus standard loaded implants: A short literature review. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2016;30(2):183-88.
9. Calcaterra R, Di Girolamo M, Mirisola C, Baggi L. Effects of Repeated Screw Tightening on Implant Abutment Interfaces in Terms of Bacterial and Yeast Leakage in Vitro: One-Time Abutment Versus the Multi-screwing Technique. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 2016;36(2):275-80.
10. Calcaterra R, Pasquantonio G, Vitali LA, Nicoletti M, Di Girolamo M, Mirisola C, Prenna M, Condo R, Baggi L. Occurrence of Candida species colonization in a population of denture-wearing immigrants. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*. 2013;26(1):239-46.
11. Davarpanah M, Szmukler-Moncler S, Davarpanah K, Rajzbaum P, de Corbiere S, Capelle-Ouadah N, Demurashvili G. Unconventional transradicular implant placement to avoid invasive surgeries: toward a potential paradigm shift. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac*. 2012;113(4):335-49.
12. Lopez MA, Andreasi Bassi M, Confalone L, Gaudio RM, Lombardo L, Lauritano D. Clinical outcome of 215 transmucosal implants with a conical connection: a retrospective study after 5-year follow-up. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2016;30(2 Suppl 1):55-60.
13. Andreasi Bassi M, Lopez MA, Confalone L, Gaudio RM, Lombardo L, Lauritano D. Clinical outcome of a two-piece implant system with an internal hexagonal connection: a prospective study. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2016;30(2 Suppl 1):7-12.
14. Lopez MA, Andreasi Bassi M, Confalone L, Gaudio RM, Lombardo L, Lauritano D. Retrospective study on bone-level and soft-tissue-level cylindrical implants. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2016;30(2 Suppl 1):43-8.
15. Lopez MA, Andreasi Bassi M, Confalone L, Gaudio RM, Lombardo L, Lauritano D. The influence of conical plus octagonal internal connection on implant survival and success rate: a retrospective study of 66 fixtures. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2016;30(2 Suppl 1):49-54.
16. Andreasi Bassi M, Andrisani C, Lopez MA, Gaudio RM, Lombardo L, Lauritano D. Endoscopically controlled hydraulic sinus lift in combination with rotary instruments: one-year follow-up of a case series. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2016;30(S2):21-8.
17. Brunelli G, Carinci F, Zollino I, Candotto V, Scarano A, Lauritano D. Peri-implantitis. A case report and literature review. *European Journal of Inflammation*. 2012;10(1 S2):1-5.
18. Scapoli L, Girardi A, Palmieri A, Martinelli M, Cura F, Lauritano D, Carinci F. Quantitative Analysis of Periodontal Pathogens in Periodontitis and Gingivitis. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2015;29(3 Suppl 1):101-10.
19. Lauritano D, Martinelli M, Mucchi D, Palmieri A, Muzio LL, Carinci F. Bacterial load of periodontal pathogens among Italian patients with chronic periodontitis: A comparative study of three different areas. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2016;30(2):149-54.

20. Lauritano D, Cura F, Candotto V, Gaudio RM, Mucchi D, Carinci F. Periodontal Pockets as a Reservoir of *Helicobacter Pylori* Causing Relapse of Gastric Ulcer: A Review of the Literature. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2015;29(3 Suppl 1):123-6.
21. Lauritano D, Muzio LLO, Gaudio RM, Russo LLO, Mucchi D, Nardi GM, Scapoli L. The ecological catastrophe of oral diseases: A possible link between periodontitis and protozoa. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2016;30(2):143-47.
22. Lauritano D, Muzio LL, Gaudio RM, Russo LL, Mucchi D, Nardi GM, Martinelli M. Why should patients with systemic disease and tobacco smokers go to the dentist? *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2016;30(2):135-41.
23. Scapoli L, Girardi A, Palmieri A, Martinelli M, Cura F, Lauritano D, Pezzetti F, Carinci F. Interleukin-6 Gene Polymorphism Modulates the Risk of Periodontal Diseases. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2015;29(3 Suppl 1):111-6.
24. Lauritano D, Scapoli L, Mucchi D, Cura F, Muzio LLO, Carinci F. Infectogenomics: Lack of association between vdr, il6, il10 polymorphisms and "red Complex" bacterial load in a group of Italian adults with chronic periodontal disease. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2016;30(2):155-60.
25. Lauritano D, Pazzi D, Iapichino A, Gaudio RM, Di Muzio M, Lo Russo L, Pezzetti F. Evaluation of the efficacy of a new oral gel containing carvacrol and thymol for home oral care in the management of chronic periodontitis using PCR analysis: a microbiological pilot study. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2016;30(2 Suppl 1):129-34.
26. Lauritano D, Bignozzi CA, Pazzi D, Palmieri A, Gaudio RM, Di Muzio M, Carinci F. Evaluation of the efficacy of a new oral gel as an adjunct to home oral hygiene in the management of chronic periodontitis. A microbiological study using PCR analysis. *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*. 2016;30(2):123-28.
27. Lauritano D, Cura F, Candotto V, Gaudio RM, Mucchi D, Carinci F. Evaluation of the Efficacy of Titanium Dioxide with Monovalent Silver Ions Covalently Linked (Tiab) as an Adjunct to Scaling and Root Planning in the Management of Chronic Periodontitis Using Pcr Analysis: A Microbiological Study. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2015;29(3 Suppl 1):127-30.
28. Lauritano D, Cura F, Gaudio RM, Pezzetti F, Andreasi Bassi M, Carinci F. Polymerase Chain Reaction to Evaluate the Efficacy of Silica Dioxide Colloidal Solutions in the Treatment of Chronic Periodontitis: A Case Control Study. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents*. 2015;29(3 Suppl 1):131-5.
29. Lauritano D, Bignozzi CA, Pazzi D, Cura F, Carinci F. Efficacy of a new coating of implantabutment connections in reducing bacterial loading: An in vitro study. *ORAL and Implantology*. 2017;10(1):1-10.
30. Carinci F, Girardi A, Palmieri A, Martinelli M, Scapoli L, Avantaggiato A, Nardi GM, Lauritano D. Lab-test 1: periimplantitis and bacteriological test. *European Journal of Inflammation*. 2012;10:91-94.
31. Carinci F, Girardi A, Palmieri A, Martinelli M, Scapoli L, Avantaggiato A, Nardi GM, Lauritano D. Lab-test 2: microflora and periodontal disease. *European Journal of Inflammation*. 2012;10(1):95-98.
32. Carinci F, Girardi A, Palmieri A, Martinelli M, Scapoli L, Avantaggiato A, Nardi GM, Lauritano D. Lab-test 3: genetic susceptibility in periodontal disease. *European Journal of Inflammation*. 2012;10(1):99-102.
33. Yavuz MS, Aras MH, Buyukkurt MC, Tozoglu S. Impacted mandibular canines. *J Contemp Dent Pract*. 2007;8(7):78-85.

Correspondence to:

Dorina Lauritano, DDS
Department of Medicine and Surgery
University of Milano Bicocca
Via Cadore 48
20052 Monza, Italy
Phone: +39.0392332301
Fax: +39. 03923329892
E-mail: dorina.lauritano@unimib.it